

7 [Mede
DIOCESE OF FREDERICTON

THE DUTY OF ALL CLASSES OF CHURCHMEN
TO CONTRIBUTE TO AN ENDOWMENT
FOR THE DIOCESE ;

A CHARGE

Delivered to the Clergy

ASSEMBLED IN THE CATHEDRAL

SEPTEMBER 3, 1862,

AND RESPECTFULLY DEDICATED TO

AND TO ALL THE LAITY OF THE CHURCH OF

THE PROVINCE OF NEW BRUNSWICK

BY THE RIGHT REV.

JOHN,

BISHOP OF FREDERICTON

PUBLISHED BY REQUEST OF THE

SAINT JOHN, N. B. :

PRINTED AT THE "DESPATCH" PRINTING
[WILLIAM M. WRIGHT, PROPRIETOR]

1862.

[Medley, John]

SE OF FREDERICTON.

F ALL CLASSES OF CHURCHMEN
BUTE TO AN ENDOWMENT FUND-
FOR THE DIOCESE ;

M 180

A CHARGE

ered to the Clergy

IN THE CATHEDRAL CHURCH,

SEPTEMBER 3, 1862,

ECTFULLY DEDICATED TO THEM,

E LAITY OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND IN

PROVINCE OF NEW BRUNSWICK.

BY THE RIGHT REV.

JOHN,
SHOP OF FREDERICTON.

D BY REQUEST OF THE CLERGY.

SAINT JOHN, N. B. :
THE "DESPATCH" PRINTING OFFICE,
WILLIAM M. WRIGHT, PROPRIETOR]
1862.

F5012

1862

17491

DIocese of Fredericton.

THE DUTY OF ALL CLASSES OF CHURCHMEN TO CONTRIBUTE
TO AN ENDOWMENT FUND FOR THE DIOCESE;

A CHARGE

DELIVERED TO THE CLERGY,

ASSEMBLED IN THE CATHEDRAL CHURCH,

SEPTEMBER 3, 1832.

AND RESPECTFULLY DEDICATED TO THEM,

AND TO ALL THE LAITY OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND IN
THE PROVINCE OF NEW BRUNSWICK,

BY THE RIGHT REV.

JOHN,

BISHOP OF FREDERICTON.

PUBLISHED BY REQUEST OF THE CLERGY.

REVD. AND DEAR BRETHREN,—

It has been my usual course, when I have addressed you on occasions similar to the present, to dwell chiefly, if not exclusively, on our ministerial duties. We meet together very seldom as a body: we rarely or never meet without having lost some of our members by death, or by removal from the diocese, and my thoughts are naturally turned to the great questions which so deeply concern us all, as ministers and "stewards of the mysteries of God." For I could wish that no one of our little band of brethren ever left this Cathedral Church at a Visitation, without having his better feelings strengthened by some good counsel from his bishop. This has ever been my intention, however imperfectly I may have discharged the duty. If then, at the present moment, I depart from my usual course, neither you, nor our lay brethren will, I suppose, think it is from any less weighty sense of our ministerial engagements, but because, when any important matter is on hand which concerns the whole Church in the province, it seems necessary, if any impression is to be made on others, to confine oneself to observations on that one topic, as, in our discourses from the pulpit, it is better to have one subject well in hand and thoroughly treated.

The subject which I propose now to consider, and to ask you to bring before all the laity in your respective missions and parishes, is the endowment of the Church in this province by grants, donations, legacies, or subscriptions, with a view to our eventual release of the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel from its charitable and eleemosynary assistance, so that

people listened to my plain and unvarnished tale of what God has wrought among us, and by their great willingness "out of their deep poverty," to pour in the riches of their liberality.

I do not disguise from myself, and I will not disguise from you, the difficulties which surround the question of endowment. We all know the tenacity with which men cling to any gift which they have long received. We know, or can guess, the suffering of the Clergy, especially of those with large families, if suddenly deprived of what is to them the best security they have that they shall be able to provide for themselves the necessaries of life. We freely admit that, in many of our missions, the people are far from being wealthy, are labouring hard for their own sustenance, and though they have the increase of the field, it does not come to them in the shape of money. We know and feel in every part of the community, the disastrous effects of the fratricidal, unnatural, and most unhappy war, now carrying on in the United States; and we can see no end to our suffering, so long as it continues.

Still, after all these admissions and allowances, the question comes home to us with a direct force which we must not attempt to evade,—shall we, or shall we not, endeavor to attain to that standing, which Roman Catholics, which Presbyterians, which the members of the Free Kirk of Scotland, and others, have attained to, of being independent of external aid for the maintenance of our clergy? Are we not one of the wealthiest bodies in the province in proportion to our numbers? Are not 42,000 Church-people (supposing the Census to be correct) able to do something considerable for themselves? Will not our undoubtedly wealthy laymen, even granting them to be not numerous, blush to find, that they are indebted to the English poor for their own spiritual good? And is there no practical way by which the clergy, casting aside (as an intolerable nuisance) all questions of party or precedence, shall so throw themselves into this work, as to convince the laity, that it is their duty to begin the work, that the maintenance of the Church even in its present state, is impossible without it, and that if we suffer the Church to drop away from us by our wilful neglect, we shall become, by the just judgment of God, one of the most degraded and pitiful communities of Christians, with a few timid, everchanging, starveling clergy to minister to us, but without the life and power of an honest, independent, and vigorous progress.

But I must enter more carefully into the details of the subject.

1. When we speak of endowments, we are not subject to the imputation of enriching ourselves. We have not even in distant view the

the whole p
ed, which l
to every po
try village,
blessing to
parsimony
and spiritu
not always
ciple was t
enriched by
to dedicate
poral and
brethren, a
petuity, wh
be all prop
own famil
which led
hind him
for the be
to show h
stowed up
occasionally
discharge
bear some
for the rig
be demand
show how
Churchmen

First, so
that is to
amount o
from bus
inherited
of land w
result of t
ance. Th
interest.
should be
But that
is clear o

Can an
ment, for
not that p
out to a s
God, and
ourselves
dealt wit
involve a
loss of o
Society f
as a real,
Can we l
ment, wh
income e
profits of
tenance
es, and y
for? De
tences of
"hasten
trust in

unvarnished tale
ong us, and by
their deep po-
their liberality.
f, and I will not
ties which sur-
ment. We all
men cling to any
red. We know,
he Clergy, espe-
lies, if suddenly
he best security
le to provide for
life. We freely
sions, the people
e labouring hard
though they have
oes not come to

We know and
unity, the disas-
e, unnatural, and
rying on in the
e no end to our
s.

ons and allowan-
o us with a direct
empt to evade,—
eavor to attain to
Catholics, which
bers of the Free
have attained to,
ernal aid for the

Are we not one
e province in pro-
ot 42,000 Church-
eable for (be correct)
erable for them-
tedly wealthy lay-
be not numerous,
indebted to the
ritual good? And
which the clergy,
ble nuisance) all
ce, shall so throw
s to convince the

begin the work,
Church even in its
ithout it, and that
drop away from us
all become, by the
the most degraded
Christians, with a
rveling clergy to
he life and power
vigorously progress.
efully into the de-

downments, we are
of enriching our-
n distant view the

the whole parochial system of England is found-
ed, which has brought the blessings of religion
to every poor man's door in every remote coun-
try village, and is only prevented from being a
blessing to the whole land by the niggardly
parsimony of those who inherit the temporal
and spiritual blessings of their ancestors, but do
not always inherit their liberality. The prin-
ciple was this, that every man whom God hath
enriched by inheritance, or by traffic, is bound
to dedicate a portion of his riches to the tem-
poral and spiritual wants of his more needy
brethren, and to lay up for their benefit in per-
petuity, what cannot, by the laws of the gospel,
be all properly expended on himself and on his
own family. It was this high sense of duty
which led the old Calais merchant to leave be-
hind him bags of gold marked "Calais Sand,"
for the benefit of the parish in which he lived,
to show his sense of the benefit God had be-
stowed upon him. A mere dole of a few pounds
occasionally to some poor person, is no effectual
discharge of this great duty. The gift should
bear some proportion to the sum received, and
for the right use of which a strict account will
be demanded hereafter. And I now proceed to
show how this is applicable to various classes of
Churchmen in this province.

First, some of our members are CAPITALISTS,
that is to say, they have sums more or less in
amount over and above their annual income
from business, which capital sums are either
inherited or arise from grants from the Crown
of land which has become valuable, or are the
result of their own skill, industry, and perseve-
rance. These capital sums are invested and bear
interest. Now I am far from saying that such
should bear the whole burden of endowment.
But that a considerable share belongs to them,
is clear on the first principles of Christianity.

Can any man who believes in the New Testa-
ment, forget the parable of the talents? Does
not that parable show the necessity of putting
out to a spiritual use whatever we receive from
God, and the certainty that merely holding it for
ourselves without imparting to others, will be
dealt with as an abuse, and so dealt with as to
involve a punishment far more severe than the
loss of our earthly goods? And has not the
Society for the Propagation of the Gospel served
as a real, though temporary endowment to us?
Can we lawfully continue to receive that endow-
ment, when we are fully able, out of the annual
income even of our capital, over and above the
profits of our business, to provide for the main-
tenance of the ministry in our respective parish-
es, and yet leave our children sufficiently provided
for? Does any of us forget those terrible sen-
tences of Holy Writ pronounced on those who
"hasten to be rich" by all possible means, who
trust in uncertain riches, that they "pierce

your property is a warning to you, that as
"riches make to themselves wings and fly
away," you should lay up some of them, "where
no thief approacheth, where no moth corrupt-
eth," and not hoard simply for your own bene-
fit, or think all you have invested absolutely
your own, without regard to the great giver of
all, whether capital, or increase.

Nor can professional men, or persons holding
official situations, whose incomes depend on
their own talents and industry, and who are not
capitalists, be held exempt from a fair contri-
bution to an Endowment Fund. Their incomes,
it is true, are precarious; so would be their
subscription, paid only on condition that their
professional income exceeded what is necessary
for the support of themselves and their families
in the station to which it has pleased God to
call them, and that it did not entrench on the
ordinary claims of charity. It must be remem-
bered, that in New Brunswick, there are very
few institutions of an eleemosynary kind. There
are no hospitals, no dispensaries, no institutions
for the relief of the deaf, the blind, the lame,
the consumptive, no schools to clothe the poor,
no blanket, soup and coal charities for their re-
lief. The Lunatic Asylum is supported at the
public expense, and so is the Leprosy House at
Tracadie. All these and many other like bene-
volent institutions are a continual drain on the
purses of professional men in England. Here
the high rate of wages, and the independence
of the labouring classes render some of them
less necessary. Our Church Society supplies
wants which are distributed into many different
channels in England, with corresponding claims
on the purse. Surely those professional men,
or men in official positions, will not seriously
maintain their entire exemption from contribut-
ing to a fund, the object of which is to assist
themselves and their families, and the families
of their poorer brethren with spiritual help,
though they may not be able to contribute so
largely as the capitalist. The same remarks
apply to the agriculturist, who suffers less from
the effects of the war than his brethren, and
has just been blessed with a most plentiful
harvest.

And the mechanic, it must be remembered,
by the very high rate of wages in the province,
being, in fact, in more independent circum-
stances than the ordinary clergyman, ought not
to refuse his aid to a fund which will help to
make provision for the spiritual wants of his
children. I feel assured that if the mechanics
resident in this country could have attended
some of the missionary meetings at which I
have been present in London, if they could have
seen the face of the London poor, wasted by
hard labour, and pinched by suffering and want,
eagerly listening to the account of missionary

subject well in hand and thoroughly treated.

The subject which I propose now to consider, and to ask you to bring before all the laity in your respective missions and parishes, is the endowment of the Church in this province by grants, donations, legacies, or subscriptions, with a view to our eventual release of the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel from its charitable and eleemosynary assistance, so that we may stand before the world as every high-minded honest person must wish us to stand, as a Church sustained by the willing efforts of the people who receive the benefit of spiritual help.

Till we do this, we must all feel ourselves in a constrained, doubtful, and unsatisfactory position. The Society for the Propagation of the Gospel, though legally incorporated, is a purely voluntary institution. No power on earth, legal or otherwise, can compel the people of England to contribute to its funds; nor could English Churchmen be forced to contribute to funds expended on the North American colonies, if they were united in a determination to withhold their contributions. So that though the Society wisely, as well as justly, desires and intends to keep faith with each missionary, as far as its engagements were pledged to him individually, it has made no permanent engagement with the Church as a body in this province. Nor is it for the most part an endowed corporation, administering funds which the piety of other ages bequeathed to it. The bulk of its property, (if you so term it) is only an annual income, arising out of collections made by the clergy in their several parishes, or by subscriptions voluntarily tendered, and liable to be at any moment withdrawn; and its payments to the missionaries are always made largely in advance of what it expects to receive, and which never comes in in cash, until nearly the close of the current year, during which the missionaries have been all punctually paid.

Again, if our lay brethren had been present with me at meetings held in behalf of the Society during this year, and had seen the very poor people who contributed to its support, and had witnessed, as I did, the evident signs of want and suffering, which showed themselves on their faces, and had recollected the far higher amount of wages which our labourers and mechanics receive, and the abundance of the fruits of the earth which rewards, and the independence which crowns their labours, I am sure they would have felt, as I did, an exceeding sense of shame at being indebted to any such poor people for the smallest assistance, and they would have felt this shame as I did, greatly heightened by the exceeding joy with which these poor

few timid, everchanging, starveling clergy to minister to us, but without the life and power of an honest, independent, and vigorous progress.

But I must enter more carefully into the details of the subject.

1. When we speak of endowments, we are not subject to the imputation of enriching ourselves. We have not even in distant view the generous gifts of our ancestors, which make many of the clergy in England to have "bread enough and to spare" for others. We only plead for a bare subsistence without superfluous wealth, we only ask that there should be clergy, that they should not be driven, by sheer necessity, and amidst great suffering and privation, from the province, and we ask that they should be allowed to live in decency, and we ask this not for the sake of the clergy only, but for the sake of the great mass of the laity, who otherwise will be left destitute of the means of grace, and whose children must otherwise leave the Church of England, or grow up in practical heathenism and infidelity.

And is this asking a great thing?

2. When we ask for endowments, we do not ask for worldly rank or pre-eminence, we are not lording it over others, or establishing any precedent hurtful to the laity, we ask for what Almighty God in his wisdom and by his holy word, imperatively enjoined on his ancient people, and, by implication, enjoins upon us.

The Scripture makes a wise and necessary distinction between voluntary offerings and endowments, or assessments. He who "knew what was in man," because He made man, framed the wise precepts of the Mosaic law on the principle, that men are easily moved to contribute liberally to any novel or exciting proposal, such as the erection of a new place of worship, but they are slow to contribute annually to objects of permanent interest after the excitement is past. The building and adorning of the Tabernacle, Moses, who acted in all things according to the "pattern showed him in the mount," left to voluntary contributions; and they were abundant for the purpose. But the salaries of the priests he made an annual rent charge on property, and the sums necessary for the repair of the Temple, were, in like manner, in after ages, collected by assessment on the heads of families. Now why should we imagine ourselves wiser than Almighty God in respect to the mode in which our service for the Sanctuary may be best secured for the benefit of all classes amongst us?

The primitive Church abounded in voluntary gifts. But they were made inalienable, and secured frequently by law. On this principle

ment, who
income ev
profits of
tenance of
es, and yet
for? Doc
tences of
"hasten t
trust in
themselves
that "it
eye of a
in riches,
Dare we f
earned the
which bro
God's gift
bid to dis
if our pr
creased v
Grants, su
called on
Otherwise
"cumber
did not b
be all loc
that it mi
Such is
grants fro
may repl
my busin
tenure of
tell whet
large por
the next
continuan
in the no
these circ
taken in
amount v
not releas
dinary y
have bec
have far
tained by
doubt, as
come pro
with mo
superflui
nished,
comparat
in the tr
of God.
of that b
and espe
holding,
would m
party?

traveling clergy to
the life and power
vigorous progress.
fully into the de-

owments, we are
of enriching our-
distant view the
ors, which make
l to have "bread
others. We only
thout superfluous
should be clergy,
n, by sheer neces-
ing and privation,
k that they should
and we ask this
only, but for the
laity, who other-
ne means of grace,
herwise leave the
v up in practical

thing?

ments, we do not
nence, we are not
ublishing any pre-
ve ask for what
a and by his holy
on his ancient peo-
ins upon us.

ise and necessary
offerings and en-
He who "knew
He made man,
the Mosaic law on
e easily moved to
novel or exciting
a of a new place of
contribute annually
st after the excite-
and adorning of the
d in all things ac-
owed him in the
contributions; and
urpose. But the
de an annual rent
sums necessary for
re, in like manner,
assessment on the
should we imagine
ty God in respect
rvice for the Sanc-
r the benefit of all

unded in voluntary
e inalienable, and
On this principle

ment, when we are fully able, out of the annual
income even of our capital, over and above the
profits of our business, to provide for the main-
tenance of the ministry in our respective parish-
es, and yet leave our children sufficiently provided
for? Does any of us forget those terrible sen-
tences of Holy Writ pronounced on those who
"hasten to be rich" by all possible means, who
trust in uncertain riches, that they "pierce
themselves through with many sorrows," and
that "it is easier for a camel to go through the
eye of a needle, than for a rich man," trusting
in riches, "to enter into the Kingdom of God?"
Dare we forget that the skill and industry which
earned these riches, the fortunate circumstances
which brought them into our possession, are all
God's gifts to us, which, in our turn, we are
bid to dispense with an unsparing hand? Or
if our property has become larger by the in-
creased value of our inheritance, or of Crown
Grants, such inheritors would seem peculiarly
called on to be liberal to an Endowment Fund.
Otherwise, may they not justly be entitled
"cumberers of the ground?" Surely the Crown
did not bestow its grants, that the land might
be all locked up for the benefit of a few, but
that it might redound to the good of the many.
Such is the duty devolving on all who hold
grants from the Crown. Suppose again some
may reply, "my capital is entirely invested in
my business, and such is now the precarious
tenure of business-transactions, that I cannot
tell whether I shall not lose some, it may be a
large portion of that capital this very year, or
the next year, especially looking to the possible
continuance of a disastrous and desolating war,
in the neighboring States." It is true, that
these circumstances are all to be fairly and fully
taken into consideration, in estimating the
amount which you should give. But they do
not release you entirely from the duty. In or-
dinary years of business, if your transactions
have been prudently conducted, your receipts
have far exceeded the sum which would be ob-
tained by investment in public securities. No
doubt, as your business has increased and be-
come profitable, you have surrounded yourself
with most of the comforts, and many of the
superfluities of life. Your houses are well fur-
nished, your farms well stocked, you live in
comparative abundance, and you depend, even
in the transactions of business, on the blessing
of God. Why should you risk the withdrawal
of that blessing, so necessary to you at all times,
and especially in these dangerous days, by with-
holding, what a just and prudent liberality
would make you to give, without injury to any
party? The very insecurity of the tenure of

to refuse his aid to a fund which will help to
make provision for the spiritual wants of his
children. I feel assured that if the mechanics
resident in this country could have attended
some of the missionary meetings at which I
have been present in London, if they could have
seen the face of the London poor, wasted by
hard labour, and pinched by suffering and want,
eagerly listening to the account of missionary
work, and ready with a prompt liberality to con-
tribute to the uttermost of their power, they
would have blushed, as I did, that the hard-won
earnings of these poor artizans should be sent
out to support the sons and daughters of New
Brunswick. And no small proportion of the
amounts given to the Society for the Propagation
of the Gospel is made up of such little sums. But
I now proceed to set before you the reasons
which should prevail with the laity to make a
great effort to make our Church a self-sustaining
Church.

It is right that we should know how long the
Society for the Propagation of the Gospel has
supported us, and what sums it has laid out
upon the province, in order that Churchmen
may see the enormous extent to which they
have profited by its liberality.

The first missionary, the Revd. Samuel An-
drews, was sent in the year 1785. The Society
has therefore assisted us, more or less, for 77
years. The following list has been handed to
me by the Secretary, of the Society's payments
up to 1861—at intervals chiefly of ten years:

1795,	grant to the Province,	£ 500 str.
1805,	" " "	590 "
1815,	" " "	2,140 "
1825,	" " "	3,885 "
1835,	" " "	3,757 "
1845,	" " "	4,302 "
1855,	" " "	4,831 "
1859,	" " "	4,531 "
1861,	" " "	4,172 "

On a survey of this list of payments you will see
how enormous is the increase in its gifts. Even
supposing (which is probably far from being
correct) that the augmentation every ten years
only began at the tenth year, and was not con-
tinually augmenting from the first year of the
new decennial period, the whole sum granted
would not fall far short of £200,000. And if
we suppose (as seems likely) that the increas-
e was made gradually during the intervals of the
decennial period, the sum granted in aid would
exceed £200,000. Now, consider how, during
the whole time that the Society has been in-
creasing its gifts to meet the calls for more mis-
sions, the province has steadily advanced in
wealth and prosperity. In 1827 its revenue
had reached £40,825, in 1835, £70,508, in 1845,

£136,623, in 1854, £195,600, in 1861, £174,712. And though in consequence of the war in the United States it has fallen off, the failure we may hope is only temporary, and if peace were restored, commerce would return to its usual channel; and we have every reason to hope, that if a right settlement were made of the great questions involved, that our prosperity would be even greater than before. It is perfectly true that the means of Churchmen are not to be measured by the whole wealth of the province, inasmuch as the Census shows that we are far outnumbered by other religious bodies, and many of our scattered settlers are poor. Still it must be admitted that the Church of England has had her full share in the growing prosperity of the province, and with that growth we are bound to see that our thank-offerings to God increase in like manner. And if I am rightly informed, and I speak on reliable authority, one-half of the capital of the province, if we except the wages of labour, is in the hands of members of the Church of England. But there are two points to which I wish especially to direct your attention. Can we deny that the members of the Church of England in this province, tho' not the most numerous, are among the most wealthy, and yet are we not receiving a larger share of help from England than any other body? I do not pretend to possess accurate information on the help, permanent or occasional, which others may obtain from abroad, but I have every reason to believe that the Roman Catholics receive little or none, the Baptists very little, and the Presbyterians no considerable sum. We still receive full £4000 a year, taking into account the pensions to missionaries and widows of missionaries, and the grants to Divinity Students. And yet it cannot be said that our missionaries are overpaid. I believe that many of them receive less than ministers of other persuasions. What sufficient reason then can be assigned for our backwardness to do a duty, which our Christian brethren on all sides of us, Roman Catholic and Protestant, have willingly discharged? Am I overstating the matter when I say that the scandal and reproach to us is very great, and that it should be by common consent removed? Further, I must remind you that the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel finds new fields of labour in all parts of the world opened, fields ready for the sower, in some instances "white unto the harvest," and that in consequence of the large sums granted to this province, scanty aid can be afforded to missions among the heathen. Now if we were soliciting its bounty for the first time, the "household of faith" would no doubt present the most urgent claim on its liberality; but having already enjoyed that aid in full measure for so many years, it becomes us

masters, and help for the poor, and a thousand other blessings to the parish? Yet this was working for posterity, and yet there is abundance of work to be done by the present generation in every parish, notwithstanding the endowment. The rector of a country parish in Somersetshire lately informed me, that within three years, the sum of £50,000 had been expended in his rural Deanery in the repairs and re-building of the material fabrics alone; and this is independent of schools, schoolmasters, asylums for the deaf, the blind, the lunatic, the consumptive, hospitals for the sick, almshouses for the poor, Christmas and other gifts, and poor rates. So that no age finds more claims upon it than our own.

But plausible as this argument appears to some to be, when sifted, it may be seen to be a string of fallacies. First, instead of our contributing according to our ability, we have contributed not one half, not one fifth part of what we ought to have done, and far less than the other religious bodies have done. I have heard that some Churchmen have even boasted of its being a fine thing to belong to the Church of England, because they were not called on to do half as much as dissenters. Again, so far from maintaining the Church in its present state of efficiency, which would be very creditable to us if we had done it, we have without scruple assisted ourselves by taking the alms of poor domestics and labourers in England to spare our own pockets, whilst our merchants in past years have made enormous profits by their ventures in ships and lumbering operations, and trade of all descriptions: and when thousands have come into their purses, they have been lauded, because they gave £10, or £20, or £50, or £100 to the Church, when £1000 would have been the scriptural proportion. Further, from leaving posterity nothing to do, we leave posterity a considerable burden, even after the very moderate sum is raised for Endowment which is proposed. If the Society now give £4000 sterling, a-year, and that sum, after allowing for pensions and scholarships, only just enables the clergy to live, and barely to live, and the capital necessary to produce that sum would be nearly £70,000 sterling, what great boon are we conferring on posterity by raising the sum of £20,000 or even of £40,000 currency? If we raise nothing, and the Society gives us up, as it may justly do, we shall simply leave no Church for posterity to endow, and having received all the benefit, we may well fear lest we be subject to the awful reproof of the angel towards those who were remiss in doing their duty. "Curse ye Meroz, said the angel of the Lord, curse ye bitterly the inhabitants thereof, because they came not to the help of the Lord." Their strength was to sit still, and let others

the whole so
land people
lower the leve
we suffer from
want of refin
it, and lessen
in it, and you
evil. In so su
I am not ref
persuasions,
educated but
to our own e
endowment u
ces, which we
body, would l
rally. Injury
section of th
whole.

Besides, ho
dering the c
ment Fund w
with the Soci
independent;
of debt with
not educate
A mere pittar
Take away t
our £20,000,
*It will not pl
which they ar
now; they v
But what wi
ment? Sim
parishes, no
pendent. No
comes; and i
income, the
missions will
with the Chu
not our misfo
fault.*

I must noti
said, the clerg
of them have
ing. They h
These faults t
body, and we
faults occur.
uphold clergy
were tolerated
in this argum
produce a sin
sation has be
been speedily
in which lega
ed, that spec
more, when
certain, spec
reach, has b
opportunity h
for change c

and a thousand
Yet this was
ere is abund-
esent genera-
ling the en-
try parish in
that within
had been ex-
e repairs and
s alone; and
choolmasters,
e lunatic, the
almshouses
er gifts, and
more claims

t appears to
e seen to be
d of our con-
we have com-
part of what
ess than the
I have heard
boasted of its
ne Church of
lled on to do
a, so far from
esent state of
reditable to us
ut scruple as-
s of poor do-
to spare our
in past years
their ventures
and trade of
ds have come
uded, because
r £100 to the
ve been the
rom leaving
e posterity a
e very mode-
which is pro-
4000 sterling,
ing for pen-
enables the
and the capi-
m would be
eat boon are
ing the sum
urrency? If
gives us up,
ply leave no
d having re-
fear lest we
of the angel
n doing their
angel of the
tants thereof,
of the Lord."
and let others

the whole social standard of Church of Eng-
land people in the province, and I believe to
lower the level of the whole province. What
we suffer from in this province is ignorance, and
want of refinement. Starve the clergy out of
it, and lessen the number of educated teachers
in it, and you only increase and perpetuate the
evil. In so saying, it must be recollected, that
I am not reflecting on the ministers of other
persuasions, or supposing that none are well
educated but ourselves, I am addressing myself
to our own condition, and the effect of want of
endowment upon us. But the evil consequen-
ces, which would be most disastrous to our own
body, would be also felt by the province gene-
rally. Injury to any important and influential
section of the community will be felt by the
whole.

Besides, how preposterous it is to talk of ren-
dering the clergy independent by any Endow-
ment Fund which we are likely to raise? Even
with the Society's generous aid, they are not
independent; they can hardly live and keep out
of debt with the strictest economy. They can-
not educate their children without assistance.
A mere pittance is all they have in most cases.
Take away the Society's help, and what will
our £20,000, or even our £40,000 do for them?
*It will not place them in the same condition in
which they are at present.* They are dependent
now; they will be more dependent then.—
But what will follow, if there be no endow-
ment? Simply, that there will be in most
parishes, no clergy to be dependent, or inde-
pendent. No set of men can live without in-
comes; and if the people will not furnish the
income, the supply will go elsewhere—whole
missions will cease to be connected in any way
with the Church of England. And this will be
not our misfortune, but our fault, our grievous
fault.

I must notice another objection. It may be
said, the clergy do not deserve our aid. Some
of them have done no credit to their holy call-
ing. They have been rather a disgrace to it.
These faults throw grave suspicion on the whole
body, and we are not inclined to give when such
faults occur. Now if the laity were called on to
uphold clergymen in their sins, or if their faults
were tolerated, there might be a show of reason
in this argument. But I defy the accuser to
produce a single instance, in which official accu-
sation has been made, that an inquiry has not
been speedily instituted, and a single instance
in which legal proof of guilt has been establish-
ed, that speedy justice has not been done. Nay
more, when any turpitude has been morally
certain, speedy justice, as far as it lay in my
reach, has been done in every case, though
opportunity has been given for repentance, and
for change of conduct in certain cases; and

But the Society has withdrawn already some of
its aid. Its offers of assistance are not now
made for life, but for three years, or even less.
It is only bound by express compact for life in
the case of a very few clergymen whose numbers
are diminishing every year, and who in the
course of nature cannot expect to live many
years. It is, as I have already said, a purely
voluntary institution, dependent on the annual
contributions of Churchmen in England, and
should these contributions cease, its power to
help us would be gone.

What may be called the endowments of the
Society are legacies left for specific objects, which
cannot, under any circumstances, be transferred
to us. How unwise, to say the least, is it for
us to rely wholly on this source, and not endea-
vour to lay up in store for a day when all our
energies will be taxed, and heavily taxed, to
help ourselves?

One other objection I shall notice. "We are
too poor. The times are very hard. It will be
time to do this at another season, when we
find the Society can help us no longer." If,
however, as has been just shewn, the Society
has already withdrawn aid to the extent of nearly
£1000, and no grant lately made can be depend-
ed on for more than two years and a-half or three
years, the time for action has already come.
Trade is indeed not in a flourishing state. But
a beginning may be made even in unprosperous
times. The instances which the Scripture gives
of liberality were those of persons whose circum-
stances were often of the poorest kind,—far
poorer than our own. And in this province
several of the most costly buildings erected for
Divine worship have been the work not of the
wealthy, but of the comparatively poor.

Where are the houses which are not well,
and, in some instances, handsomely furnish-
ed? Where is the farmer who has not his
team of horses, his oxen, his cows and his sheep,
his unfailing crops of grass and of grain, and of
roots? Where are the parties of pleasure that
are given up, the balls that are not attended,
the smart dresses that are not ordered, the din-
ners that are not given? I find these expenses
going on, as if some people were not poor. And
I distrust the excuse when I see it only applies
to charitable gifts. Nor is it any excuse for

Withholding our offerings that many come from
distant quarters and appeal to us for help. It
is neither just nor generous to contribute to
distant claims, when we neglect a duty that lies
at our own door.

It is indeed most painful to consider the con-
sequences of the withdrawal of the Society's aid
in the present state of apathy which prevails
among Churchmen in the Province. Imper-
ceptibly, but most certainly, our work would

the sower, in some instances, "white unto the harvest," and that in consequence of the large sums granted to this province, scanty aid can be afforded to missions among the heathen. Now if we were soliciting its bounty for the first time, the "household of faith" would no doubt present the most urgent claim on its liberality; but having already enjoyed that aid in full measure for so many years, it becomes us to yield to the necessities of our less favoured brethren, and to make a sacrifice that they may receive help in their turn. And I take it to be an unquestionable truth, that we shall value the Church more in proportion as we support it more liberally. For where and by whom is the Church most valued? Is it in places where no contributions are made, where the laity having every thing done for them, are called on for no active support? Just the reverse. There every one seems buried in apathy. Not only does the material fabric often lie waste, but the spiritual interests of the flock are neglected. Every call seems a heavy burden, every exertion impossible; and those who contribute nothing to supply the spiritual wants of others, suppose themselves incapable of attending to their own. But those who contribute the most liberally to every Church institution, feel the most pleasure in upholding what their beneficence has created, they enlist others in the work, and they do it with a heartiness and enthusiasm which attracts general sympathy. The very exertions and sacrifices we make ensure the help of others in any case of need.

But I am obliged, I regret to say, to notice and endeavour to answer some of the objections which are made to an Endowment Fund.

First, it may be said, we contribute according to our ability, to maintain the Church in its present condition. And why should we spare posterity the duty of contribution? Their turn will come as well as our own, and if we endow the Church now, we leave nothing for our successors to do, who may be better able than we are to do it.

Admitting for an instant, that this argument is plausible, I boldly assert that it is a glorious thing to work for posterity without consideration for the future. Whom does posterity honour? Whose name has descended with credit from generation to generation, but the name of the men, who regardless of their own profit, and seeking only the glory of God, and the good of their fellow-Christians, have endowed the Church of England in the small scattered villages in that highly-favored country, with lands which perpetually ensure the residence of a pastor, which assist in providing schools and school

Church for posterity to endow, and having received all the benefit, we may well fear lest we be subject to the awful reproof of the angel towards those who were remiss in doing their duty. "Curse ye Meroz, said the angel of the Lord, curse ye bitterly the inhabitants thereof, because they came not to the help of the Lord." Their strength was to sit still, and let others work.

What is the literature of England, what is the translation of the Scriptures, what is the constitution of our Church as we find it, but an inestimable boon, conferred upon us by the labour, and learning, and patience and suffering of others, in which we have borne no share? And are we to cry out against working for posterity? Shame upon the indolence and covetousness which clings to such a fallacy.

But I notice another objection. "If we give to this Endowment Fund, says one, we shall render the clergy independent of us. They will be our masters, they will be arbitrary, violent, and capricious, and perhaps they will bring in Popery at last."

It is perfectly astonishing how many turns that word Popery is made to serve. For of all convenient excuses for not giving, this seems the strongest—that it is Popish. But the most Protestant among us may be well disabused of the notion, that it is in the power of any man, or of any set of men to bring in Romanism into the Church of England, so long as the laity will allow our Liturgy, our Creeds, and our Articles, to remain just as they are. Let the Church of England alone, and she will continue to be, what she has for three centuries been, a sturdy and manly protester against both Rome and Geneva: but alter the formularies, and I do not pretend to say what she will be. But of this I am sure, that by endowing the clergy with a decent competence, by raising them above bare, starveling want, by preventing them from being abject hirelings, "crouching for a piece of silver and a morsel of bread," you are not likely to make them converts to Popery, you are furnishing them with the means of self-respect as gentlemen, you are enabling them to educate their children decently, you are helping to retain within the Church men of education and refinement, you are morally enriching yourselves and your families, and you are preventing a very sore evil which will certainly fall upon you sooner or later, if you do not endow, the evil of an inferior race of clergy, unfit to mix in social and domestic circles, where anything of refinement is expected. And the effect of seeing such a race of teachers will be to lower

sation has been been speedily in which legal ed, that speedily more, when a certain, speedily reach, has been opportunity had for change of where is the c be denied the out of the snail ways? Are we condemned St. he denied his oaths and imp as to imagine, is in the Church moral guilt and All I assure yo fear of God, a do the diocese offender, when instant punish of tender and never I hope came public p judge at the could never r their friend. out offence, if mete out to u and severity (and the New standard of H teachers or there are not would go out ences," and w the first stor emption from nature. We hope lies in t fice of Christ just; wise, or dividuals, for been severely whole body, against an E men have ab and have bro We might ju lege of the A number was

I proceed needless to c because the

d having re-
fear lest we
of the angel
n doing their
angel of the
ants thereof,
of the Lord."
d let others

land, what is
what is the
ind it, but an
us by the la-
and suffering
ne no share?
aking for pos-
ce and covet-
allacy.

"If we give to
e shall render
ey will be our
olent, and ea-
ing in Popery

y many turns
e. For of all
z, this seems
But the most
disabused of
of any man,
omanism into
the laity will
d our Articles,
the Church of
ntinue to be,
been, a sturdy
th Rome and
e, and I do not

But of this I
e clergy with
g them above
ing them from
ing for a piece
" you are not
o Popery, you
eans of self-re-
bbling them to
ou are helping
n of education
enriching your-
are preventing
ainly fall upon
not endow, the
unfit to mix in
here anything
d the effect of
will be to lower

sation has been made, that an inquiry has not been speedily instituted, and a single instance in which legal proof of guilt has been established, that speedy justice has not been done. Nay more, when any turpitude has been morally certain, speedy justice, as far as it lay in my reach, has been done in every case, though opportunity has been given for repentance, and for change of conduct in certain cases; and where is the clergyman or layman that should be denied the opportunity of recovering himself out of the snare of the devil, and amending his ways? Are we so merciless, that we would have condemned St. Peter to everlasting ruin, because he denied his Master three times, and that with oaths and imprecations? Or are we so foolish as to imagine, or to pretend to believe, that it is in the Church of England only, that cases of moral guilt among ministers sometimes occur? All I assure you is, that I have endeavored, in the fear of God, and without favour to any man, to do the diocese justice, and I have sheltered no offender, when I thought his crime demanded instant punishment, and his case did not allow of tender and prudent commiseration. But never I hope shall it be said of me that I became public prosecutor, witness, juryman, and judge at the same time: and that the clergy could never rely on me as their protector and their friend. But if I may speak plainly without offence, if the same measure which some would mete out to us, were meted out with equal zeal and severity to all laymen without distinction, (and the New Testament lays down the same standard of holiness for all Christians be they teachers or not) I much question whether there are not some lay brethren among us who would go out "convicted by their own consciences," and would find themselves unable to cast the first stone at us. We lay claim to no exemption from the faults of a common sinful nature. We are like others, poor sinners, whose hope lies in the mercy of God through the sacrifice of Christ our Lord. But we do not think it just, wise, or charitable, that the faults of individuals, for which, in most cases, they have been severely punished, should be visited on the whole body, or that it is a reasonable argument against an Endowment Fund, that some clergymen have abused the good gifts of the Church, and have brought scandal on their sacred calling. We might just as well denounce the whole college of the Apostles, because one of their small number was a traitor.

I proceed to notice another objection. "It is needless to contribute to an Endowment Fund because the Society will never withdraw its aid."

is neither just nor generous to contribute to distant claims, when we neglect a duty that lies at our own door.

It is indeed most painful to consider the consequences of the withdrawal of the Society's aid in the present state of apathy which prevails among Churchmen in the Province. Imperceptibly, but most certainly, our work would melt away before our eyes, and, ere we were aware of it, we should find our missions deserted, our Churches shut up and decaying, our Sunday Schools broken up, our Church Society ill supported, our work and labor of love coming to nought, and this diocese, instead of counting its 50 clergy, would not assemble above 20. There are, you will recollect, 34 missions, which are not, and for a long period will not be entirely self-supporting, and these will require constant aid, which the Church Society cannot, with anything like its present income, efficiently maintain. I entertain no doubt that a sum similar to that subscribed in Nova Scotia, could be raised in this province, if Churchmen were convinced of the necessity of the case, and chose to put forth all their strength. The money is in their possession if they would only part with it, and a better investment for the good of their children, and their children's children, could not be devised. And though the times are hard, and business is dull, do people live as if they were poor?

I must admit my grievous disappointment at the manner in which this important subject has been met by the wealthier members of our communion. Difficulties have been raised, doubts suggested, the question of patronage has been thrown in to give an air of perplexity to the business;* of talk there has been plenty, but there never has been in any of the meetings which I have attended, from first to last, a thorough, hearty, unflinching determination to face the difficulty and to overcome it. And while

*I once more remind our lay-brethren, that the question of patronage does not rest with me, but with the Crown. The Crown claims it, I presume, because whatever Endowment exists in the shape of Glebes, comes from that source. In no single instance has so much as an allegation been made, that the representative of the Crown has abused his patronage, nor in any instance save one, have any of the laity offered to endow a living, and in this solitary instance (that of the late S. Seovil, Esq.), the endowment is prospective, not immediately available. As far as I am concerned, no opposition was offered to the bill proposed to be brought in on this subject two years ago, though no one seemed to think it worth his while to attend to it. But I should hope, for the credit of New Brunswick, that some measure less crude, and less devoid of the simplest elements of sound ecclesiastical legislation, might be prepared, when so many members of our Church are connected with the profession of the law.

those who had little to give offered counsel in abundance, those who had enough and to spare, with few exceptions, stayed at home and took no part in our proceedings. This cannot be called in any sense a party-question. It is connected with no particular view of doctrine or practice; it is simply a question of the existence of the Church of England missions in this province in a state of tolerable efficiency. If the Society continue to withdraw their aid at the same rate for the next five years, as for the last five, many missions must remain vacant, that is, will be destroyed. And if the withdrawal should be more rapid, ruin stares us in the face, and nothing that I can see can avert it. As matters stand at present, the clergy have a hard struggle to keep up a decent appearance and live out of debt; but if the source on which they chiefly depend be taken away, and no effort be made to supply its place, no body of men can be expected to face starvation. Such of them as can migrate will leave us for more generous climes where the Church is believed in, where the ministry is really valued, and the labourer is deemed worthy of his reward. And as the country missions become vacant by death or removal, they will not be filled up, because no one will consent to incur expenses which he cannot meet. No accusation of covetousness can be sustained against the clergy for such a course. They are expected to perform certain duties. To perform them they must live, and they cannot live without a maintenance. And as they have no time to labour for their daily bread, their time being occupied in serving others, those whom they serve must provide them with the means of living decently. This is a very plain tale, which wants no eloquence to enforce it, and speaks powerfully to every one who believes the Scripture to be the Word of God, and who deems the ministry of the Church of England to be that which is profitable to his own soul. He who thinks and acts otherwise, either does not believe the Bible when it says that they "who preach the Gospel must live of the Gospel," or he does not value the Church whilst he enjoys all its advantages, and therefore he has no business in it. We should do better without him. For he takes all and gives nothing. I have now said to you all that occurs to me as necessary on this topic. If I have been silent on many subjects of high spiritual interest you will not suppose me indifferent to them, or think that I undervalue what is most vital, essential, and profitable. But time does not permit me to dwell upon them in this address, and I have not lost sight of them in my admonitions to you from the pulpit this morning. I trust that you will all concur with me in using your utmost endeavours to bring the whole subject before your parishioners, throughout the

hand. Could they cease; only a miracle could make good their place.

Consider the natural order in which the agency of the minister of Christ yields to those who receive him, the fruits which nothing on this side of heaven can measure or rival. He comes to those who sat in the shadow of death, and brings them tidings of salvation. He is the channel through which they obtain that knowledge which prophets and kings desired to see and hear, but neither saw nor heard, which "sages would have died to learn;" for he is the messenger of the Gospel. When they have believed the word, he baptizes them as he has been commanded, and they are through his agency admitted into the fellowship of the Church of Christ, with all its privileges and its joys. Then the Church of Christ is there; and there is the word of God; and there, the communion of the saints, the practice of godliness, and the hope of heaven; all through the coming of that one man under his commission from his Lord. Soon, rises some house of prayer, beautiful, more or less, in holiness; and Christian worship, Christian instruction, Christian marriage, Christian burial, have their appropriate place and scenery. Who shall tell the value of that personal peace and righteousness, of that social harmony and kindness, of that intellectual culture and development, and far above all, of that eternal joy and glory, which are to have their sources there, as generation follows generation? You may pursue these results as they descend from the barbarian fathers first converted to the Gospel, and so all along through ages of growing civilization and improvement, into the unknown future. You may trace them as they spread from a single spot till their influence has been felt throughout whole lands and continents. You may imagine them, as they attend and form the destiny of the man, from the first lessons and impulses of his childhood, nay, from the time when he was brought an infant, like the infant Jesus, into the temple, to receive the sacrament of which the spiritual grace is a new birth to righteousness, till he sits down with all the glorified saints in the kingdom of God; and thenceforth onward to all which may be prepared for the ransomed and sanctified soul in the life eternal. All began with the seed which a humble minister of Christ was sent to sow; and as far as to the gate of Paradise, he is there to be the guide of all this progress. Remove him; and what must be the end?

Yes, let the Christian ministry disappear from any region; and how long or how widely would the blessings of the Christian religion remain? The voice of the preacher is silent; and there is no substitute; for all experience tells that where the ordained herald is not heard, or is heard with scorn, the lay teacher of evangelical truth has no audience, or no will to speak. In some scattered spots, the echoes of public prayer and social song may linger a little while, but they too expire. Where is the hamlet or neighborhood, altogether unsited by a minister, that long retains even the custom of assembling on the Lord's day? Soon, the house of prayer is desolate, and falls into decay, a melancholy memorial. There is no ecclesiastical organization or fellowship; but a few scattered persons are left, who once met at a sacrament long since disused. The rising generation are all unbaptized, untaught, untrained; the Sunday School was closed for want of teachers,

vation of a just honor, of those who exercised. be degraded thinking ministry, mitted sho their recep hospitable for His m Him they through si ence. They they took When min In the agen or might b had the s soldier of were also Still later their elev letters and rupt amb and wise r of religio by the act endowment and that worldly is of the mos dence of C contempt, might not The wiser ciations a tity; and revered, in the soc their stro religion is or refined to recom sent to gi This wou ment tha indifferen should w which we and all b

A church and negli the irrel man, fai left to an without tianity. could co ample ho pect a bi towards ter of int ill train

miracle could make

which the agency of the
who receive him, the
Heaven can measure
sat in the shadow of
salvation. He is the
tain that knowledge
to see and hear, but
ages would have died
of the Gospel. When
aptizes them as he has
rough his agency ad-
Church of Christ, with
Then the Church of
rd of God; and there,
practice of godliness,
gh the coming of that
his Lord. Soon, rises
ore or less, in holiness;
instruction, Christian
their appropriate place
value of that personal
social harmony and
ure and development,
joy and glory, which
as generation follows
ose results as they de-
first converted to the
ages of growing civili-
the unknown future.
eal from a single spot
roughout whole lands
e them, as they attend
from the first lessons
y, from the time when
infant Jesus, into the
of which the spiritual
ness, till he sits down
kingdom of God; and
may be prepared for
al in the life eternal.
a humble minister of
as to the gate of Para-
e of all this progress,
he end?

y disappear from any
ldely would the bless-
ain? The voice of the
substitute; for all ex-
rdained herald is not
e lay teacher of evan-
no will to speak. In
e of public prayer and
ile, but they too expire,
hood, altogether unvin-
ains even the custom of
Soon, the house of
o decay, a melancholy
astical organization or
persons are left, who
ce disused. The rising
catechised, untrained;
for want of teachers,

vation of souls, and to this end that it may be held in
just honor, we embrace within our view the duty both
of those who bear it and of those amongst whom it is
exercised. Every thing can be exalted, every thing can
be degraded, by customs, modes of speech, and ways of
thinking. All Christians must wish that the work of the
ministry, and therefore that those to whom it is com-
mitted should be held in honor. Our Lord has said that
their reception is his own. He has given them a title to
hospitable entertainment and honorable maintenance
for His name's sake, and for the blessings which from
Him they bring. His first messengers were accredited
through signs which no man could behold without rever-
ence. They healed the sick; they spoke with new tongues;
they took up serpents, unharmed; they cast out devils.
When miraculous tokens ceased, others were granted.
In the ages of persecution, men who had confessed Christ
or might be called to confess Him, in the face of death,
had the same renown which ever attends the valiant
soldier of earth or heaven. Foremost in danger, they
were also most eminent in the esteem of all believers.
Still later in the history of the Church, they preserved
their elevation by the almost exclusive possession of
letters and high knowledge. Not merely also from cor-
rupt ambition in the priesthood, but from the devout
and wise purpose of rulers, to provide for the perpetuity
of religious institutions in their lands, a purpose aided
by the actual accumulation of ages, it resulted that large
endowments were sometimes in the hands of the clergy,
and that their order was thus surrounded with some
worldly influence and attraction. So, through means
of the most various character, it has pleased the Provi-
dence of God to protect the ministry of His Church from
contempt, even in the eyes of those whose thoughts
might not rise beyond that which is external and earthly.
The wisest are not insensible to the power of such asso-
ciations as seem appropriate to worth, dignity or sanc-
tity; and cannot desire to see those whose office is
revered, personally occupying the last and lowest place
in the social scale; and minds less mature receive often
their strongest impressions from the clothing in which
religion is presented to their view, whether it be coarse
or refined, austere or graceful. None of us who wished
to recommend a cause to general acceptance would con-
sent to give it the aspect of poverty, neglect and scorn.
This would not be less contrary to all practical judg-
ment than to the feelings of the heart. Covetousness or
indifference will thwart any end, and justly; for, why
should we expect that others will much regard that
which we value so little as willingly to keep it furnished
and all but helpless?

A church or temple, poorly built, cheaply furnished
and negligently sustained, tells either the indigence or
the irreligion of the worshippers. A clergy or a clergy-
man, faithful in the discharge of the sacred office, and
left to anything like want, is a living proof of a people
without substance, or without heart, or without Chris-
tianity. As a matter of feeling, who that loves the Lord
could consent that His messengers should not receive
ample hospitality? As a matter of faith, who could ex-
pect a blessing while he should withhold this respect
towards those whose commission they bear? As a mat-
ter of interest, who could estimate the work of a laborer,
ill trained, ill supported, disheartened and distressed,

abundantly evident; and no one questions it, unless
through fears of the pressure which may be laid upon
the people for the honorable and comfortable support of
their ministers. It was never heard that such an addi-
tion to the income of a clergyman as made him not afflu-
ent but at ease was lamented by his parishioners as long
as it imposed no burden on themselves. Wealth is nei-
ther more nor less perilous to a clergyman than to
to others; for if it bring to him any peculiar temptation
to sloth, it may also be believed, from the motives which
he has obeyed and the vows which he has assumed, that
he may the more feel himself constrained to be a good
steward, and a cheerful giver, ready to distribute, glad
to communicate. But that degree of competence which
leaves him free to labor with an undivided mind, and
provides him with all necessary aid, is simply what
every one who loves his neighbor as himself would
gladly make the general lot of Christian ministers.

Under this conviction, in the old time, whole nations
separated for the local clergy a certain proportion of all
the fruits of the land, and gave them suitable dwellings.
Elsewhere, individuals, having large possessions, or else
communities uniting their efforts, appropriating lasting
endowments, that the public worship of God might be
sustained from generation to generation. Glebes, paro-
sonages, parochial funds, have been made even in our
own land, the portion of those who, as to worldly sources
of gain, may be said, like the Levites, to have no inhe-
ritance in Israel. But the dependence of the ministry,
is now, and amongst ourselves, almost entirely on the
free contributions of their people, or of those who by
missionary aid, supply the deficiency left through the
inability of rising or decayed or permanently small con-
gregations. In favored portions of the land, amongst
the wealthy, and in compact communities, populous but
not too populous, the provision is adequate. It is pain-
fully inadequate, in all the less peopled and less opu-
lent regions. It weighs most unequally on those from
whose contributions it proceeds. It brings manifold ills
in its train; the uncertainty of support, the capricious
subscription, the straitened household, the danger to
pastoral independence and fidelity, the frequent remov-
al, the inequality of places in the house of God, the
tendency to exclude the poor, the indirect means of col-
lecting funds, through appeals to the love of amusement
or to mere humanity or good-nature rather than to
duty. All these do not meet in a single instance; they
are the separate evils of different arrangements; and it
is far easier to lament them than to suggest a faultless
method. But the best antidote to all such evils must be
in a generous, Christian conception of duty to the mi-
nistry, as to the great institution of the Lord for pre-
serving, propagating and making effectual the word,
and the means, of human salvation.

If it were certain that the continuance of the mini-
stry, and with it, of the sacraments, the Church, and all
the blessings and the hopes of the Gospel, on any spot
where a man and his family would dwell for generations,
did absolutely depend on the amount which that man
should give from his income, his labor or his estate, for
its maintenance, what proportion would that man be
willing to offer? Is there any limit? Would any one
who believes in a life to come give up his religion, for
himself and his children, rather than give up any por-

think that I undervalue what is most vital, essential, and profitable. But time does not permit me to dwell upon them in this address, and I have not lost sight of them in my admonitions to you from the pulpit this morning. I trust that you will all concur with me in using your utmost endeavours to bring the whole subject before your parishioners throughout the province, and to convince them of their duty. And I shall be prepared to second your efforts in the addresses which I shall deliver, if it please God to spare my life and strength, in the course of the next summer. For the present I content myself with humbly and heartily imploring God's grace and benediction upon you all, laity as well as clergy, in this as well as in all other works of piety and charity.

NOTE.—The day after the Charge was delivered, the clergy met in the Cathedral Library, and the sum of \$5594 has been subscribed by thirty-four of their number towards the Endowment Fund in the diocese. An account is now opened with the Bank of New Brunswick, in St. John, to receive subscriptions towards this object. I have received two sums, one of \$20, and one of \$40 from lay members for the same, and have been given to understand that another sum of \$1000 will be forthcoming.

I gladly and thankfully direct your attention to the following sound and weighty words of my valued friend and brother, the Right Rev. G. Burgess, D.D., Bishop of Maine, in his last charge, delivered July 9, 1862, and trust he will pardon my so freely using it.

EXTRACTS FROM THE FIFTH CHARGE OF THE RIGHT REV. THE BISHOP OF MAINE.

The Christian ministry, that which Christ began, and which the Holy Ghost continually replenishes, was a gift, of which He never intended to deprive the Church or the world. It is wherever the Gospel is: it is here with us. No land ever became Christian except through the agency of that ministry; and without it no Christian land exists. The only body under the Christian name which ever attempted to live without an order of ministers, dispensed also with the sacraments, and soon withered away. In the ordinary course of things, it is with the Church as with an army; its success is as are its officers. With the character, the vigor and the labors of its ministry, it prospers or decays. If they lack knowledge, the Church walks in darkness. If they are deceived, the blind are led but by the blind, and know not whither they go. If the ministry be a corrupt, selfish, ambitious, or degraded class of worldly men, the forms of religion must necessarily become the means of wickedness. On the other hand, improvement and reformation have often begun outside of their order, but never could advance far without enlisting them on its side. For workmen every cause must have; and these are the workmen, designated, authorized, bound and trained to the cause and body of Christ, I might almost say its tongue and its feet, ready to speak and swift to bear good tidings; if not its discerning eye and executing

Where is the hamlet or neighborhood, altogether unvisited by a minister, that long retains even the custom of assembling on the Lord's day? Soon, the house of prayer is desolate, and falls into decay, a melancholy memorial. There is no ecclesiastical organization or fellowship; but a few scattered persons are left, who once met at a sacrament long since disused. The rising generation are all unbaptized, untaught, untrained; the Sunday School was closed for want of teachers, almost as soon as the pastor departed. People sicken and expire with no mention of Christ; and men become accustomed to bury their dead, silent and prayerless, without a word of the resurrection. Bibles, unexpounded, and soon unread, grow old on shelves and in closets; and are but beheld as relics of the past. The Sunday rest survives long after the sanctity of the Sabbath; but at length this also yields. Education, literature, commerce, domestic industry, philanthropy, the administration of justice, the institutions of civil liberty, glide into the shadow of heathenism, which appropriates what it may of the influence of Christianity, and goes on in its own development, as from the beginning, becoming even more and more brutal, gross and godless. The startling truth has been more than once demonstrated in the history of the world, that society can exist, and individuals can live and die, without religious belief, worship or customs. In what moral condition, the same history relates with a shudder. But it is not too much to say that all this change would be wrought in any Christian country or community as a simple consequence of the total extinction of the labors of Christian ministers.

But rather than it should be wrought, who would not be tempted to wish that an earthquake might engulf his city, that the ocean might submerge his native land, or that his posterity might become extinct in the person of his firstborn infant? Unless the Lord should have purposes of mercy beyond, who could desire that the end of all things should not be close at hand? How little would remain to those from whom all had departed, which is bound up with the continuance of the Gospel amongst men! The Gospel came with the ministry; is proclaimed, upheld and propagated through the ministry; and with the ministry would go away and be heard no more. This is no exaggerating picture of the imagination, but a most sober and clear deduction from all experience. Ends without means are not the order of Providence; and the ministry, under divine appointment and by an almost universal recognition, is the express means for bringing divine truth to the hearts of mankind, and dispensing the blessings of Christian worship and fellowship. The more vast are those blessings, the more precious is this agency; and it is in the full light of its necessity, and its power for good, that we are to estimate our duty and that of our brethren of the laity, in maintaining its efficiency, its purity, and its honor.

In striving that the ministry may be effectual to the growth of the kingdom of Christ on earth and to the sal-

left to any without sanctity. A could con ample hos peet a ble towards the ter of inte ill traine at the sun cation, the give skill.

Let us l who has there, wi and meas possible, But there impressio have no p children on the ver them wer pomps and tween a k and that v choice of waver. V den of wa tion, beca it may be deliberat

men; and many aid and the h "they wh It is no l adequatel several ca by divin tions; bu of his bl he labors knowledg he has th their mis hire shou strong an

At the l sire a wis preacher some ben and attra of a wel Education for study, man, and fully aide ed amidst

ood, altogether unvi-
ins even the custom of
Soon, the house of
decay, a melancholy
tical organization or
persons are left, who
e diseased. The rising
catechised, untrained;
for want of teachers,
urted. People sicken
rist; and men become
ilent and prayerless.
Bibles, unexpound-
helves and in closets;
e past. The Sunday
y of the Sabbath; but
ation, literature, com-
thropy, the adminis-
of civil liberty, glide
, which appropriates
ristianity, and goes on
he beginning, becom-
ross and godless. The
an once demonstrated
ociety can exist, and
hout religious belief,
moral condition, the
der. But it is not too
would be wrought in
nity as a simple conse-
the labors of Christian

* * * * *
ought, who would not
quake might engulf
his native land,
extinct in the person
he Lord should have
could desire that the
close at hand? How
whom all had depart-
e continuance of the
el came with the min-
propagated through-
ry would go away and
aggerating picture of
and clear deduction
ut means are not the
ministry, under divine
iversal recognition, is
vine truth to the hearts
blessings of Christian
e vast are those bless-
ency; and it is in the
power for good, that
at of our brethren of
ency, its purity, and

ay be effectual to the
n earth and to the sal-

left to anything like want, is a living proof of a people without substance, or without heart, or without Christianity. As a matter of feeling, who that loves the Lord could consent that His messengers should not receive ample hospitality? As a matter of faith, who could expect a blessing while he should withhold this respect towards those whose commission they bear? As a matter of interest, who could estimate the work of a laborer, ill trained, ill supported, disheartened and distressed, at the same value with that of one who has all the education, the resources, the means and the comfort which give skill, efficiency and alacrity?

Let us linger a little at this consideration. A father who has a son in the ministry, a son who has a father there, will have no difficulty in deciding on the kind and measure of provision which should be made, if it be possible, for those who labor in the word and doctrine. But there prevails, in the minds of some persons, an impression that the purity of an order, with which they have no personal connection, and to which they give no children of theirs, is best guarded by holding that order on the verge of penury. We might possibly concur with them were there no choice except between this and the pomps and temptations of luxurious wealth. But as between a kindly and moderate or even generous provision and that which is stinted, pinching and precarious, the choice of no reasonable and right-hearted Christian can waver. Where God, in his Providence, imposes the burden of want, it may be welcomed, like any other affliction, because it comes from Him; and through His grace it may become a blessing. But it is not for any Christian deliberately to wish it and plan it for any of his fellow-men; and not surely for those whom it must deprive of many aids for the performance of the most important and the holiest work on earth. God has ordained that "they who preach the Gospel should live of the Gospel." It is no longer a question whether the office could be adequately exercised by men earning their bread in the several callings of secular life. That question is decided by divine appointment. There may be many exceptions; but the rule is fixed, that the laborer is worthy of his hire, and should receive it from those for whom he labors, so soon as they, in sufficient numbers, acknowledge the obligations of Christianity. Till then, he has the same claim on those who send him forth as their missionary. He is worthy of his hire; and that hire should not be the meanest, if you wish him to be a strong and diligent laborer.

At the head of every parish, all Christian people desire a wise, a well instructed and pious teacher; a good preacher; a respected and respectable man, exercising some beneficial influence throughout the community, and attracting to the ways of peace through the example of a well ordered and amiable Christian household. Education, books, channels of information, and leisure for study, are indispensable to the formation of such a man, and such an influence and attraction are wonderfully aided by that culture which is hardly to be attained amidst the struggles of severe penury. All this is

try, and with it, of the sacraments, the Church, and all the blessings and the hopes of the Gospel, on any spot where a man and his family would dwell for generations, did absolutely depend on the amount which that man should give from his income, his labor or his estate, for its maintenance, what proportion would that man be willing to offer? Is there any limit? Would any one who believes in a life to come give up his religion, for himself and his children, rather than give up any portion or the whole of his possessions? Would not any man of wealth consent at once to cut off so much of his accumulations as might be demanded? Would he not prefer to die so much the less affluent, and die with the hope of the Gospel, rather than so much the more affluent, and without that hope? Would not the poorest man consent to sacrifice a day's labor in every week, rather than all which he and his household owe to the existence of the Christian religion all around them and for them; the ministry, the Church, the Lord's day, Sunday Schools, education, baptism, holy matrimony, devout burial, missions, almsgiving, fellowship, faith, hope, love, contentment, peace, and the knowledge of God and of Jesus Christ whom He has sent? Unless life eternal is a dream, rich and poor alike ought to sell all they have, to forsake all that they have, rather than lose the pearl of great price; and if that they may be saved, they must call on the name of the Lord, we must still ask, "how shall they call on Him whom they have not believed? and how shall they believe on Him of whom they have not heard? and how shall they hear without a preacher?"

And, in closing, let us yet add with the apostle, "how shall they preach except they be sent?" Authority, commission, training, order, precede and accompany a truly and permanently efficient discharge of the great office of an ambassador of Christ. Without these, assemblies may be gathered to listen to an unordained speaker, and perhaps to bow to his eloquence, and go away impressed, awakened or reproved. But unless churches be organized, maintained, instructed, and held in union, the summer shower is hardly more uncertain or fleeting than such a religion. The Saviour made provision that His might last and work forever. From generation to generation, men, sober, grave, temperate, sound in speech and in faith, vigilant, blameless, proved and then set apart by an holy ordinance, were to be the teachers of His Church, the preachers of His Gospel, the pastors of His flock, the spiritual guides of His people. While such a class, with such a character, remains and is counted worthy of honor and support of every kind, His work must prosper, because He gave it to such hands to be by them fulfilled. In proportion as such a ministry shall fail to exist, or shall lose the regard of all Christians, and so shall forfeit its own efficiency, the faith will be exchanged for vague, distracted opinions and unmeaning forms of expression, and the whole Church, without harmony or zeal, will be nearly what any single congregation is when it is long without a wise and faithful minister.